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Feedback on the results of the Questionnaire on the Use of Recommendation E-111 on Port Traffic Signals

# Summary

The ENG Committee is currently tasked with updating Recommendation E-111 on Port Traffic Signals. However, during initial discussions on the subject, it is apparent that some members have difficulty in using the port traffic signal codes described in the Recommendation due to localised requirements, such as wide area of coverage and/or long luminous ranges and other impracticalities of the current version of Recommendation E-111. As such, some organisations have developed their own means of providing Port Traffic Signals that do not strictly comply with the existing Recommendation. It was not apparent whether these examples are isolated cases, or is a representation of a wider issue in the industry.

In order to assist the ENG Committee, the ARM Committee was requested to advise the ENG Committee of whether their members are applying the Recommendation E-111 in their ports, or whether there are difficulties in implementing it.

A questionnaire was compiled and sent to all National-, Associated- and Industrial members to assist in ensuring that the update of the Recommendation E-111 meets the needs of the members.

## Purpose of the document

To report on the synthesis of the questionnaire for consideration at ARM9 in order for the ARM committee to assist the ENG committee in updating Recommendation E-111 to meet the needs of IALA members.

## Related documents

Analysis of questionnaire on E-111 (Excel file). Refer to the latter’s spreadsheet named “Introduction” for an overview as to how the recommendation came about and a brief summary of the conclusions reached and recommendations for ARM9 to consider.
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